

Summer Interns 2018

End Review Presentation

Name: Ridhi Puppala Cluster / Department: T&V Business Unit: R&D Mentor: Manoj Kumar Sharma

For Internal circulation only .(c) Bajaj Auto Limited

Design, Manufacture and Build in-House Junker Test Setup to simulate loosening of bolted joints in transverse vibration

Principle

- Bolt loosening is more severe for transverse dynamic loads than dynamic axial loads
- Preloaded fasteners self loosen when there exists relative movement between mating threads and fastener bearing surface

Theory of Self-Loosening of fasteners

- ✤ In 1969, Gerhard Junker published a paper on his theory of self loosening
- Radial movement is significantly smaller under dynamic axial loads than that which is sustained under dynamic transverse loading
- Relative motion between mating threads cancels friction grip and induces an off torque proportional to thread pitch and preload
- Differential thermal effects and pressure changes at the joint interface











Interim Deliverables

- Development of basic design concept
- 3D Modelling of Test setup
- Multi Body simulation, Design calculations and FEA analysis of test machine parts
- Design release for manufacturing
- Instrumentation for test monitoring

Final Deliverables

- Conduct test on different combination
- Identify issues and suggest further development in the Junker test setup developed in-House
- Effect of variable frequency, cross movement and Preload conditions on test results & conclusions
- Assess possibility of testing multiple specimen simultaneously to reduce overall run time

Motivation

Conventional Junker setup operates at low frequency (12 Hz) and less total cycles (typically 1000). In contrast Engine components are observed to loosen at high frequency and low load conditions. Although total cycles can be reduced by increase load, it might lead to failure of bolts rather than loosening. Thus there is need for a robust test setup capable of high frequency and adaptable to different bolt combinations and test loads while producing repeatable and conclusive results.



Development of basic design concept

Transverse Vibratory Motion



Piezo electric transducers, Cam Shaft, Eccentric Shaft and Slider Crank mechanism

Eccentric shaft was chosen keeping in mind the existing resources and limited time

Actuator for transverse motion

- Induction motor for maintaining constant rotational speed at different load torque
- Available motor with Rated torque of 35Nm
- Max speed of 3000 RPM/50Hz (can be stepped upto 9000 RPM/150 Hz using Gearbox)





Fig. Old Load washers – Axial strain

Load Washer Design

- Old designs were based on axial strain of cylindrical load washer which wasn't repeatable due to surface asperities
- Strain induced in bending beam produced repeatable results independent of initial orientations





3D Modelling – Mechanism





Key points

Shaft with very small eccentricity (<0.2 mm) in the central portion converts rotary motion from motor to transverse vibratory motion of a plate with a Cross movement = 2*Eccentricity



Fig. Pocket in Stationary Plate for Load Washer and test sample



Adams Multi Body Simulation – Build Model



Important Joints

✤ Revolute

- 1. Shaft & Motor (gnd)
- 2. Shaft & Conrod
- 3. Pin & Conrod

Fixed

- 1. Housings & gnd
- 2. Stationary Plate & gnd
- 3. Washer & St. Plate
- 4. Washer & Bolt head
- 5. Connector & Moving Plate
- 6. Conrod Jaw and Collars
- ✤ Translational
 - 1. Moving & Stationary Plates





MBS – Contact & Spring Force Modelling



Spring Force

 Bolt and Nut interaction is modelled as a spring force with Preload equal to preload of the bolted joint

Modify a Spring-Damper Force X				
Name SPRING_1				
Action Body BOLT				
Reaction Body NUT				
Stiffness and Damping:				
No Stiffness				
No Damping				
.ength and Preload:				
Preload -2.5E+004				
Default Length (Derived From Design Position) 				
Spring Graphic On, If Stiffness Specified				
Damper Graphic On, If Damping Specified				
Force Display On Action Body				

Frictional Contact

- Surface interaction between Nut and Moving Plate is modelled as a contact
 force with Impact normal force and Coulomb friction
- Impact functions parameters
 - Stiffness (K) = EA/L (Nut Body)
 - Damping ~ 1% of Stiffness Coeff
 - Force exponent (e) > 2.1 (metals)
 - Penetration depth (δ) ~ Preload/ K
- Coulomb Friction parameters
 - μ (static) = 0.2
 - μ (dynamic) = 0.1
- Results were observed to be very sensitive to contact parameters
- Improper modelling generated noise in joint forces and input load torque
- Accurate values produced sinusoidal results

CONTACT_1 Solid to Solid MPLATEBODY NUTBODY Red	
CONTACT_1 Solid to Solid MPLATEBODY NUTBODY Red	
Solid to Solid MPLATEBODY NUTBODY Red	
MPLATEBODY NUTBODY Red	
NUTBODY Red Impact	
Red 🔽	
Red 🔽	
Impact	ŀ
2.609938512E+006	
2.2	
2.609938512E+004	
4.0E-003	
n	
Coulomb	ŀ
On	•
0.2	
0.1	
100.0	
1000.0	
	2.2 2.609938512E+004 4.0E-003 n Coulomb On 0.2 0.1 100.0 1000.0 OK Apply Clos



MBS – Results (Animation)







MBS – Results (Animation)







MBS – Results





Fig. Plots for Position, Velocity and acceleration of Moving Plate Centre of Mass versus Time

MBS – Results









25000N

15000N

10000N

- Joint Forces and Moments were found to be maximum at 25000N Bolt Preload
- Results for max preload were used for Shaft and Pin design calculations



MBS – Results





0.4

Time (sec)

0.5





Design Calculations (Shaft Design)





Bolt Preload and Theoretical Strain (Load Washer) Calculations



Bolt Preload Calculations

- Coefficient of friction between nut and clamping material is 0.15 on an average
- Tightening Torque (T) = KF_id, where d is nominal diameter of the bolt Torque coeff (K) = 0.2 (constant)
 F_i is the Bolt Preload

	Strength Grade	Size	Tightening Torque -T(Nm)	d (mm)	Torque Coefficient (K)	Bolt Preload - Fi (kN)
d	8.8	M6	10.5	6	0.2	8.75
7 0	8.8	M8	25.3	8	0.2	15.8125
2	8.8	M10	50.9	10	0.2	25.45
	10.9	M6	14.7	6	0.2	12.25
t	10.9	M8	35.5	8	0.2	22.1875
	10.9	M10	71.5	10	0.2	35.75
	12.9	M6	17.7	6	0.2	14.75
	12.9	M8	42.7	8	0.2	26.6875
	12.9	M10	86.8	10	0.2	43.4

Minimum Strain Level Calculations for Load Washer

- For best results the minimum level of strain in load washer strain gauges greater than 150 με
- New Load Washer (SCM 435H) can be approximated to a rectangular bending beam with hinged support on both ends

Flexure's formula: $\frac{M}{I} = \frac{\sigma}{y} = \frac{E}{\rho}$ Strain in bending beams: $\varepsilon = -\frac{y}{\rho} \Rightarrow \varepsilon = -\frac{M*y}{E*I}$

Here,

- **M** = Bending moment
- y = Height from Neutral axis (NA)
- *E* = Modulus of Elasticity
- I = Area moment of Inertia
- P = Bolt Preload
- x = Position of strain gauge from free end





✤ Material Properties : Alternating Stress due to dynamic Loading (σ_a) = $\frac{F_a}{\Delta}$ Proof Strength $(S_p) = 970$ MPa Ultimate Tensile Strength $(S_{ut}) = 1220$ MPa Factor of Safety against Joint Separation (N_o) = $\frac{F_i}{P(1-C)}$ Endurance Strength (S_e)= 570 MPa Proof Load $(F_p) = S_p * A_t$ Factor of Safety against Fatigue Failure (N_f) = $\frac{S_{ut}(S_{ut}-\sigma_i)}{\sigma_a(S_{ut}+S_e)}$ Preload (F_i) = 0.75* $F_p \Rightarrow F_i$ = 16995N Preload Stress $(\sigma_i) = \frac{\Gamma_i}{\Delta}$ Geometry Parameters : $N_0 = 4.51$ $N_{f} = 2.415$ Tensile Stress Area $(A_t) = 34.86 \text{ mm}^2$ Unthreaded shank area $(A_d) = 37.41 \text{ mm}^2$ **Alternating Load** Thread length $(L_{t}) = 18 \text{ mm}$ F_a = 4360N Unthreaded Length $(L_d) = 28$ mm $F_m = 0N$ $K_m = AEd(e)^{-Bd/I} \Rightarrow 900579269 N/m$ $\frac{1}{K_{b}} = \frac{1}{E} \left(\frac{L_{t}}{A_{t}} + \frac{L_{d}}{A_{d}} \right) \Rightarrow K_{b} = 162863886 \text{ N/m}$ Stiffness Coeff of bolted Joint (C) = $\frac{K_b}{K_m + K_b}$ **Engine Conrod** Bolt and Nut \Rightarrow C = 0.1358









Loading

- Stationary Plate rigidly fixed to ground
- 15000N Bolt Preload and a frictional contact applied at bolted joint to be tested
- Frictional contact between Pin and Connecting Rod
- Displacement of 0.2mm applied to Connecting Rod



FEA – Stress Analysis





Stress Analysis

- Mild steel (UTS ~ 500 MPa) was chosen as material for Plates and Housings as they undergo minimal stress
- Higher Strength Material (SCM 435H) for critical components like Conrod Assembly, Eccentric Shaft and Pin



Assembly and Manufacturing



Key points

- Rigid Shaft coupling was manufactured for the initial prototype due to limited time
- Bearings Housings on either side of shaft was manufactured as single units for perfect alignment of bores
- Close tolerances assigned for all manufactured components
- Bearings are press fit on the shaft
- Interference fit for pin and connector





Strain Gauging and Calibration Setup





Fig. Bending beam arrangement of Strain Gauges on the Load Washer with 2 active resistors and 2 dummy resistors



Fig. UTM load cell in contact with head of Flange M6 bolt for applying preload on the Load Washer

For Internal circulation only .(c) Bajaj Auto Limited



Strain Gauge Data & Filtering – M6





Fig. Complete cycle of loading and unloading of Load Washer with flange M6 bolt under UTM for a maximum load of 1500 kg

Fig. Filtered data for 4 runs and their linear fits

- Loading above 1400 kg and Unloading cycle data was cut off for accurate linear fit
- **Trendline** feature of Excel charts was used to estimate a linear for four trials with **zero intercept**



Validation of Theoretical Strain Calculations (Flange M6)



- Area moment of Inertia and height from NA were calculated with suitable approximations
- Since the load washer can be considered as beam with both hinged supports,
 Bending Moment (M) = $\left(\frac{P}{2}\right) * x$
- Final relation between strain and Bolt Preload is Linear with an equations as follows :

$$P = -\frac{2 \cdot E \cdot I}{x \cdot y} \cdot \varepsilon \Rightarrow P(N) = -9.93882 \cdot \mu\varepsilon$$



Theoretical Relation

Bolt Preload (N) = -9.93882 * MicroStrain

Average Linear fit from Calibration

Bolt Preload (N) = -10.29542 * MicroStrain







Fig. Complete cycle of loading and unloading of Load Washer with flange M10 bolt under UTM for a maximum load of 1500 kg Fig. Filtered data for 3 runs and their linear fits

- Loading above 1100 kg and Unloading cycle data was cut off for accurate linear fit
- Trendline feature of Excel charts was used to estimate a linear for four trials with zero intercept



M1

Averaging of the Calibration Data – M6 & M10



- Linear fits of all four test runs were developed with zero intercept
- Angle of inclinations for respective linear fits were found from slopes
- Slope of best fit line = tan(average of angle of inclinations)

M6	Trendline	1	2	3	4	Average	Best Fit
	Slope	-1.0044	-0.944	-0.917	-0.948	-0.95285	$\Rightarrow Bolt Preload (kg) = -1.04948 * MicroStrain$
	Theta	-0.78759	-0.7566	-0.74213	-0.75871	-0.76126	\Rightarrow Bolt Preload (N) = -10.29542 * MicroStrain

Trendline No.	1	2	3	Avg.
Slope	-0.8725	-0.8748	-0.8745	-0.87393
Theta	-0.71741	-0.71872	-0.71855	-0.71823

	Best Fit
Micro Str	rain = -0.87393*Load(kg)
\Rightarrow Bolt Preload ((kg) = -1.14425 * MicroStrain
\Rightarrow Bolt Preload (N) = -11.22515 * MicroStrain



Full Assembly – 3D model





Fig. Isometric View of the complete assembly

Fig. Custom Washers to lock axial motion of Conrod



Components and Manufactured parts





Fig. Fixture acts as a base plate and height riser for the setup



Bore for test specimen

Flat cage needle rollers

Fig. Stationary Plate with 2mm pockets for needle roller bearings



Fig. Needle roller and Split Bush with ID =26mm



Fig. Load Washers with M6 bore



Full Assembly – Physical Test setup





Fig. Complete test setup fixed to test bed (ground)

Fig. Top View



Losses in cross movement









Fig. Measurements of cross movement at various locations in the assembly







Fig. Isometric View of the assembly for testing 2 specimen simultaneously

Fig. Side View and Top View of the complete assembly

- Minimized spaces between eccentric portions of the shaft and bearings supports to reduce the bending moment on the shaft
- Eliminated axial motion of Conrod without any additional washers
- Additional Constraining of the moving plate on both sides and top





Further Modifications





Fig. Modelling of Assembly for double testing in Adams

Fig. Input torque and Conrod Forces versus time





Key Learnings



- Theory of fastener Self loosening, Junker Test
- Different types of fasteners, locking techniques and their applications
- Review of all my DOME concepts & design calculations (shaft, Bolted joints, bearings)
- CAE software Adams (Multi Body Simulation)
- CAE software Unigraphics (NX) 3D modelling
- Strain Gauging, Data Acquisition and Calibration techniques
- Basics of AC induction motor & their drives
- Manufacturing techniques and DFM Dowelling, Laser Cutting, grinding





Thank You

For Internal circulation only .(c) Bajaj Auto Limited